3/35/6/3/

Bol 9988 1686 No. 33 4

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY Court.

## THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

A Body Politic and Corporate,

Plaintiff.

275.

J.	ST	EWA	ART	DAT	VIS			
	-			2				
21	. 5. S	t	Pay	11.	Stree	t		
	-		**		. 1			
				á.	Defendo	ant	· · ·	
. CL	ERK:							
Issue clarat ved o it ret	in the ion are urnal	nd n def ole o	otice enda n th	with nt e sec	end cop the wr , and n ond Mo	rit, t nake ndag	o be the	
clarat ved o it ret	in the ion are urnal	nd n def ole o	otice enda n th	with nt e sec	the wr	rit, t nake ndag	the y of	28
Issue clarat ved o it ret	in the	nd n def ole o	enda en the	with nt e sec	the wr , and n ond Mo	rit, t nake ndag	the y of	38

FILED APR 191928

## The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, A Body Politic and Corporate,

VS.

IN THE

	* * *
J. STEWARL DAVIS	
	Andrews the second
215 ST. PAUL STREET.	BALTIMORE. CITY Court
	The second of the second second second section

The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a body politic and corporate, by A. Walter Kraus its attorney, sues J. Stewart Davis

defendant

For money payable by the defendant to the plaintiff:

- 1. For goods bargained and sold by the plaintiff to the defendant .
- 2. And for work done and materials provided by the plaintiff for the defendant at hi srequest.
- 3. And for money lent by the plaintiff to the defendant
- 4. And for money paid by the plaintiff for the defendant at his request.
- 5. And for money received by the defendant for the use of the plaintiff.
- 6. And for money found to be due from the defendant to the plaintiff on account stated between them.
- 7. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 1925, we as lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$2,000.00 for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 19 26, upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. 518, approvedDec. 3, 1925, a tax of 27 12/35 cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 1926, and there was also lawfully levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. 513, approvedDec. 3, 1925, a tax of \$2.48 per \$100 for City purposes for said year 1926, said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$63.23 which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, has failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail sand refuses so to do.
- 8. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 26 , w as lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Bal-

timore City, an assessment of \$2,000.00 for purposes of State and City taxation for the year 1927, upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. 926, approved Dec. 17, 1926, a tax of 27 1/4 cents per \$100 for State purposes for said year 19 27, and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No.927, approved Dec. 17, 1926, a tax of \$2.39 per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 27, said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to \$57.43 which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, has failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail s and refuse s so to do.

9. And for that the defendant on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$ for purposes of State and City taxation for the year , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. , approved , a tax of cents per \$100 for , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor State purposes for said year 19 , approved and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the though often requested so to do, ha failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part defendant thereof, and still fail and refuse so to do.

on and after the first day of October, in the year 19 And for that the defendant lawfully in the possession of and owned certain chattels personal, goods, wares and merchandise in the City of Baltimore, Maryland, upon which there was duly made by the Appeal Tax Court of Baltimore City, an assessment of \$ for purposes of State and City taxation for the year , upon which said assessment there was lawfully levied by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore by Ordinance No. cents per \$100 for , approved , a tax of State purposes for said year 19 , and there was also levied on the aforesaid assessment by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, by Ordinance No. , approved a tax of \$ per \$100 for City purposes for said year 19 , said State and City taxes upon said assessment, including interest and penalties to the date of the institution of this suit amounting to which said amount is now due and payable by said defendant to the plaintiff, and the defendant though often requested so to do, ha failed and refused to pay said taxes, or any part thereof, and still fail and refuse so to do.

And the plaintiff claims \$ 300.00

Attorney for Plaintiff.

TO THE DEFENDANT :

Take Notice —That upon the day of your appearance to this action, a rule will be laid upon you requiring you to plead to the Declaration in accordance with the Act of 1886, Chapter 184, and all supplements and amendments thereto, or judgment by default will be entered against you.

7 4792 AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE DUPLICATE OF FINAL BILL AND LEGAL NOTICE

Aug. 10 192 7

NO. 33-459-185

To: J. Stewart Davis

TAX RATE PER \$100

STATE

THE RECORDS OF THE BUREAU OF RECEIPTS, CITY OF BALTIMORE, SHOW YOU ARE INDEBTED TO THE STATE OF MARYLAND AND MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE FOR PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES AS PER THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

2

YEAR

27

MISC. 2000 AMOUNT INT. & PENALTY

5.48 406

11 2000 47.80 47.80

26 STATE 11 2000 47.80
CITY 49160
STATE
CITY 49160

STATE
CITY
TOTAL
COURT COSTS
INTEREST-PENALTY
TOTAL

CHARGES, PLUS INTEREST AND PENALTY, ARE PAID ON OR
BEFORE

AGAINST YOU IN THE { PEOPLE'S BALTIMORE CITY } COURT.

JOHN T. DONOHUE

TOO OO ( WHATMAN MANAXX

COLLECTOR AND MANAGER. BUREAU OF RECEIPTS. and accounts (the causes of action

and accounts (the causes of action 1st, 1928, 1st, 1928), over and above

in and for the City of Baltimore

of Baltimore, plaintiff, and made

nuary

n T. Donohue

Stewart Davis

all discounts, to the best of his knowledge and belief. And he further swears that he is the Agent of the said plaintiff and duly authorized to make this affidavit, and has personal knowledge of the matters therein stated.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal.

Melland Hardel.
Notary Public.

Mayor.

,1928,

Attorney for Plaintiff.

TO THE DEFENDANT:

Take Notice —That upon the day of your appearance to this action, a rule will be laid upon you requiring you to plead to the Declaration in accordance with the Act of 1886, Chapter 184, and all supplements and amendments thereto, or judgment by default will be entered against you.

INCIL OF BALTIMORE A LIL AND LEGAL NOTICE

192

192

IREAU OF RECEIPTS, CITY OF

INDEBTED TO THE STATE OF

INDEBTED TO THE STATE OF

AXES AS PER THE FOLLOWING

TY

AMOUNT INT A PERALTY

AMOUNT INT A PERALTY

Attorney for Plaintiff.

approve the institution of the above suit.

Mayor

STATE OF MARYLAND, CITY OF BALTIMORE Sct.

I Hereby Certify, That on this 15th day of January ,1928, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for the City of Baltimore aforesaid, duly commissioned and qualified, personally appeared John T. Donohue , City Collector, and agent for and in behalf of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, plaintiff, and made oath in due form of law that there is justly due and owing by J. Stewart Davis

the defendant in the said case, to the plaintiff on annexed statements and accounts (the causes of action with interest from Jan.1st, 1928, in said cause) the sum of \$ 120.66 (withintexextranxx Isk, 1928, ), over and above all discounts, to the best of his knowledge and belief. And he further swears that he is the Agent of the said plaintiff and duly authorized to make this affidavit, and has personal knowledge of the matters therein stated.

WITNESS my hand and notarial seal.

Notary Public.

# Amount of personal taxes \$120.66 Int. 5 months @ 6% 3.00

Amount of judgment

\$123.66

No. 55 MAYR. D. 191928 **Baltimore City Court.** The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore A Body Politic and Corporate Stewart Davis 215. St Bru & A 174 Ei 8 8281 IS A9A WRIT OF SUMMONS Cop of Nar and Notice to plead within to be served on defendant. A. Walter Kraus Attorney for Plaintiff PUED MAY 8 - 1928 Filed 192

#### STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY, To wit:

To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting: You are commanded to summon of Baltimore City to appear before the Baltimore City Court, to be held at the Court House in the same city, on the second Monday of \_\_\_\_\_\_next, to answer an action at the suit of The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a body politic and corporate. and have you then and there this writ. Witness the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the day of JAN 1920 192 Issued the 20 day of APR 1028 192

IN THE BALTIMORE CITY COURT.

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE,

A Body Politic and Corporate,

VS.

J. STEWART DAVIS.

### **JUDGMENT**

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file (60 SEP 2 1 1928

City Solicitor—Attorney for Mayor and City Council of Baltimore.

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE,	
A Body Politic and Corporate,	- IN THE
vs.	BALTIMORE CITY COURT.
J. STEWART DAVIS.	Docket4 , Folio 1686
·	

The Plaintiff moves for a judgment by default for want of plea and affidavit of defense

City Solicitor—Attorney for Mayor and City Council of Baltimore.

Upon legal and satisfactory proof of the correctness and amount of the claim for which the above suit was brought, being produced to the court.

It is Ordered, this day of September, 1928, that the One hundred and twenty three dollars, Judgment by default in this cause be extended for and sixty six cents.

(\$ 123.66 ), damages assessed by the Court and costs of suit.

CX 13